

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,196	02/13/2002	Kimio Tsunemasu	8037-1001	5276	
466	7590 08/12/2002				
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR			EXAMINER		
ARLINGTON		MITCHELL, JAMES M			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827	-2	
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Applicant(s	pplicant(s)			
Office Action O		10/073,196	TSUNEMAS	TSUNEMASU, KIMIO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James Mitchell	2827				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cove	er sheet with the corresponder	nce address			
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory minus apply and will expire	rever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of	of this communication.			
Status							
1) 🖂							
2a)		is action is non-fi					
	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition of Claims	ince except for fo Ex parte Quayle,	ormal matters, prosecution as 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213	to the merits is			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:						
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
]	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) <u> </u>	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper lotice of Informal Patent Application other:	r No(s) (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trade PTO-326 (Rev.		on Summary	D	art of Paper No. 3			



Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1, 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Washida (U.S 6,144,091).

Washida discloses a semiconductor device (1) which is mounted on a principle surface of a substrate (20) to be connected with an inherent circuit formed on said principal surface, said semiconductor device comprising: wherein a body portion (1) is a CSP (Column 1, Line 7) having a mounting surface (bottom surface of said device), a plurality of inherent solder ball ("bump", 6-10; Column 4, Lines 53-54) which are formed on a said mounting surface and which connect said semiconductor device to said principle surface of said substrate, a reinforcing pad (17) provided on a mounting surface (area device is to be mounted) and having portions on which said a plurality of solder balls are inherently positioned and hemmed to have a roundness in line with outer diameter of said a plurality of solder balls (Fig 4, cusp region of pad along side portions of ball), respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,196

Art Unit: 2827

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Washida as applied to claim 1 in combination Darveaux et al. (U.S 6,201,305).

Washida does not appear to disclose the reinforcing pad having an X shape which also comprises both a cross, L and V shape.

However Darveaux utilizes an X shape pad (28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the pad, X shaped in order to provide for a pad.

In any case, absent evidence of criticality, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable

Application/Control Number: 10/073,196

Art Unit: 2827

by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

jmm

August 7, 2002

DAVID E. GRAYBILL PRIMARY EXAMINER